



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

Future challenges for the French power generation paradigm: reliability versus low-carbon issues

<u>Nadia Maïzi</u> Edi Assoumou Mathilde Drouineau Vincent Mazauric

International Days of the

ParisTech Chair Modeling for Sustainable Development

Policies after Fukushima

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

16/3/2012 1 / 46

Future power mix issues



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

э 16/3/2012 2 / 46

 $\exists \rightarrow$

< A

3 / 46

Future power mix , a major issue for the next decades

• Huge investments are forecasted in the power sector

• Electricity environmental impact are consequent: power generation stands for more than 45% of Carbon Dioxide emissions.



Figure: Power generation by region Source: AER 2009.

Future low-carbon Power Systems

Moving to a low-carbon society

- Renewable and distributed energy sources are attractive alternatives for power generation
- Nuclear power is stated as a zero-emission technology

Beyond the debate between pros and cons

the different options induce major technical challenges to shift the paradigm towards new power systems

★ E ► < E ►</p>

16/3/2012

5 / 46

Future Power generation mix



Figure: World generation by share ETP 2008

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

Future Power Systems appeal for major-technical issues



Figure: All-Renewable Electricity Generation in 2050.Source: DESERTEC.

Technical issues for New paradigms based on Decentralized options. Intermittency.

Image: Image:

• • = • •

Future Power Systems appeal for major technical issues



Figure: All-Renewable Electricity Generation in 2050.Source: DESERTEC.

Technical issues for New paradigms based on
Decentralized options.
Intermittency.

Future Power System : Reliability of electricity supply



Figure: Europe from orbit during the Italian blackout (Sept. 28th, 2003). Source: French TSO.

Technical constraints binding the operation of the future power system are related to:

- the given level and spatial distribution of loads and capacities;
- the expected level of reliability to prevent from power outages.
- Where reliability is the capability of the power system to withstand sudden disturbances due to load fluctuations.

Future Power System : Reliability of electricity supply



Figure: Europe from orbit during the Italian blackout (Sept. 28th, 2003). Source: French TSO.

Technical constraints binding the operation of the future power system are related to:

- the given level and spatial distribution of loads and capacities;
- the expected level of reliability to prevent from power outages.
- Where reliability is the capability of the power system to withstand sudden disturbances due to load fluctuations.

Adressing dynamics issues of future power systems

we might question the implementation **relevance** and **plausibility** of the future energy mix through Prospective exercises

Long-term planning models

deal with several years or decades

Stability studies

involve time scales ranging from a few milliseconds to a few hours

Adressing dynamics issues of future power systems

we might question the implementation **relevance** and **plausibility** of the future energy mix through Prospective exercises

Long-term planning models

deal with several years or decades

Stability studies

involve time scales ranging from a few milliseconds to a few hours

Adressing dynamics issues of future power systems

we might question the implementation **relevance** and **plausibility** of the future energy mix through Prospective exercises

Long-term planning models

deal with several years or decades

Stability studies

involve time scales ranging from a few milliseconds to a few hours



Load fluctuation and stability

energy exchanges between the subsystems involved in the Thermodynamic Framework

Two events experienced by a power system: an admissible load fluctuation is lifted by the electromagnetic coupling energy (ΦI_{exc}), the kinetic reserve (E_{cin}) and the generation realignement during a load fluctuation (left); conversely, a short circuit lowers the coupling energy and the kinetic reserve leading to a collapse of power transmission (right).

Deriving reliability indicators (Patent FR 11 61087)

 ${\scriptstyle \blacksquare}$ In order to ensure system reliability enough reserve levels must be provided:

- magnetic reserve : transmission maintenance ;
- kinetic reserve : frequency maintenance.
- The higher the reserves, the more reliable the system is.

Reliability criteria

- \bullet The reserves are associated to two indicators $H_{\mbox{cin}}$ $H_{\mbox{mag}}$
- They refer to **dynamic properties** of the installed capacities, each contributing to the reserves level in a specific way

The level of reliability is characterized by H:

the time you have to recover the stability of the system after a load fluctuation (equivalent to the whole system capacity) by monitoring its reserves.

TIMES as a Prospective tool

"What we have the right to ask a conceptual model is that is seize on the strategic relationships that control the phenomenon it describes and that it thereby permit us to manipulate, i.e., **think about the situation**"

Source: R. Dorfman, P. A. Samuelson, R. M. Solow



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

16/3/2012

11 / 46

12 / 46

Prospective versus Prediction

Whilst Prediction imposes the future.

Prospective

- envisions all the possible futures
- in order to **lighten** tomorrow's consequences of today's choices and decisions

In other words Prospective exercises enable to :

- **be prepared** to unexpected trends or events thanks to the assessment of a **diversity of imagined futures**
- i.e. to build a prosthesis for the stake-holders or decision-makers who desire a calculated adventure

Tools are needed to think, debate, and to evaluate decisions and measures

12 / 46

Prospective versus Prediction

Whilst Prediction imposes the future.

Prospective

- envisions all the possible futures
- in order to **lighten** tomorrow's consequences of today's choices and decisions

In other words Prospective exercises enable to :

- **be prepared** to unexpected trends or events thanks to the assessment of a **diversity of imagined futures**
- i.e. to build a prosthesis for the stake-holders or decision-makers who desire a calculated adventure

Tools are needed to think, debate, and to evaluate decisions and measures

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

TECHNO-ECONOMICAL MODELS

TECHNICAL	ECONOMIC
energy sector disaggregated	energy sector aggregated
deviations permitted	no possible deviation
regarding historical trends	regarding historical trends
energy	energy
= function (efficiency, usage)	= function (GDP, price, inflation)
energy units	monetary units

The class of techno-economical models

Competitions, substitutions and technical issues

A technological energy-sector model:

TIMES based on a MARKet ALlocation

- a highly detailed technological representation for existing and future technologies enabling:
 - a complete description of consumption trends,
 - a precise analysis of substitutions between types of energy,
 - an interpretation of the notion of energy needs in terms of services and equipments,
 - a better evaluation of renewable energy sources.
- an **open-source** model developed in the framework of **ETSAP**: Energy Technology Systems Analysis Program initiated by the IEA (in 1980)

A model to assess future power systems

The TIMES model

A technical linear optimization model driven by demand achieving **a technico-economic optimum**

- for the reference energy system (RES)
- submit to a set of relevant technical and environmental constraints
- over a definite horizon : long-term (50 years)



Figure: Reference Energy System

< All

French electricity paradigm



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

A (10) N (10) N

16/3/2012 16 / 46

∃ >

17 / 46

French electricity generation sector

dominated today by nuclear power

Installed	thermal	thermal	thermal	Hydro	wind	Solar
Capacities 1/1/2011	nuclear	fossil	Ren	power	power	PV
(GW)	63.1	27.1	1.2	25.2	5.8	0.9

Electricity Generation Shares



- \sim 500 TWh $\,:\,$ Global production
- \sim 400 TWh : Nuclear thermal production (80%)
- \sim 30 TWh : Classical thermal production (coal and figul)

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

Nuclear power replacement is the main driver for the future



N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech)

Future challenges for French power

France in Europe : an interconnected grid

French Net Exportation : \sim 70 TWh



Nuclear Phase out

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- Germany : in 2022
- Switzerland : in 2035
- Italy : voted in 2011

Figure: Contractual Exchanges between European borders in 2010 source RTE

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech)

16/3/2012 19 / 46

Installed capacities are already reaching a critical point

Reliability issues

Missing capacities to meet the demand: foreseen in 2016

	2013	2014	2015	2016
Énergie de défaillance en espérance (GWh)	0.2	0.8	2.8	27.4
Espérance de durée de défaillance	0h05	0 h 22	1h14	8 h 50
Puissance manquante	-	-	-	2.7 GW

Figure: Reference scenario Source RTE/Bilan Prévisionnel 2011

Following the trend or changing the paradigm ?



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

16/3/2012

21 / 46

A (10) < A (10) </p>

22 / 46

Replacement of nuclear existing capacities

Fukushima accident has opened the debate

- Ifetime : discussion has moved from 30 to 60 years
 - debate in 1999 : between 30 and 40 years [Bataille, Galey 1999] (nominal 30)
 - today discussions : between 40 and 60 years
 - more than 40 years submitted to ASN (french nuclear safety agency) agreement

Last October, The Ministry for Energy asked for a study in order to assess different options for the future nuclear power in France including **phase-out** options

23 / 46

Assessing the future of nuclear power for France

Three scenarios existing nuclear power plants

Maintain = BAU

nuclear capacity is maintained to 65 GW (lifetime of existing capacities extended to 60 years and replaced when needed)

Progressive Phase-out= PROG:

lifetime of existing capacities limited to 40 years for one plant over two; the others are extended to 60y with a cost of 600Billions \in /plant

(3) fast phase-out = FAST: lifetime limited to 40 years

24 / 46

Nuclear residual capacities according to three options



Figure: FAST (lifetime 40y) PROG (lifetime 40y to 60y) BAU (lifetime 60y)



Final electricity consumption forecast 2050 = Demand scenario forecast (Source: RTE (french TSO)/BP July 2011.)
 Fix Carbon Tax 20 €/T according to ETS levels

Fossil ressources prices : WEO 2010

unit		2010	2020	2030	2040	2050
\$/tep	oil	60.4	99.0	110.0	117.2	125.2
\$/MBTU	gas (EU)	7.4	11.6	12.9	13.8	14.9
\$/tonne	coal	97.3	101.7	105.6	107.7	110.0

Assessed Scenarios for the French Power System

Scenarios	CO ₂	Elastic	Nuclear	Common
	Constraints	Demand	Status	assumptions
				Prices
BAU	ETS tax	Reference	Maintained	WEO 2010
			Progressive	
PROGt1	taxe ETS	yes	Withdraw	Demand
	ETS tax		Progressive	reference тso (RTE)
PROGv1	+ cap BAU	yes	Withdraw	
			Fast	Variable
FASTt1	ETS tax	yes	Withdraw	exports 40 to 50 €
	taxe ETS +		Fast	
FASTv1	+ cap BAU	yes	Withdraw	

Prospective analysis of the results



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

16/3/2012

27 / 46

< A

Nuclear lifetime sensitivity analysis



Figure: Power Mix generation $(CO_2 tax)$

16/3/2012 28 / 46

Nuclear as a zero-emission solution



N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

Nuclear lifetime sensitivity analysis : tax + cap



Figure: Power Mix generation ($CO_2 tax + cap$)

31 / 46

Huge investments are needed

new generation capacities to secure power supply



Figure: Lump sum of Power Plants Capacities (with extended nuclear plants)

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

∃ >

32 / 46

16/3/2012

New capacities Investments to maintain 65 GW



Figure: New installed capacities BAU

New capacities Investments for a fast phase-out



Figure: New installed capacities FASTv1 (lifetime 40y, tax + cap)





Figure: Overcost total actualised cost (in 2011) as compared to BAU

Beyond the classical results



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

∃ >

35 / 46

16/3/2012

- A 🖓

Sensitivity analysis of reliability issues



Kinetic Reserves



Magnetic Reserves



N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech)

Future challenges for French power

16/3/2012 36 / 46

Reliability kinetic reserve for winter peak



∃ >

Reliability magnetic reserve for winter peak



Fiabilité des scénarios évalués



Winter Peak H_{mag} (ms) H_{mag}

Kinetic Reserves



Magnetic Reserves



N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech)

Future challenges for French power

Changing the paradigm, from power mix to consumer



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

16/3/2012

40 / 46

Consumption, Consumers : the key issues I

- In order to cope with climate mitigation issues, some technological options are highly recommended and the discussion opposes renewable energy and nuclear supporters;
- the main outcome of the study delivered to the french Ministry of Energy as it was related by journalists was the recommendation to extend nuclear power plant lifetime to 60y;
- technical issues such as reliability level might be part of the debate as they give insights about feasibility and relevance of future power mix;

Consumption, Consumers , the key issues II

Beyond technical issue, reliability also speaks about **quality of supply the load profile level of supply** that refer to the end of the chain : consumption usage and requirements.

A balance between reliability issue and the spread of renewable energies is required but it has to be related to consumer needs which must be at the center of the debate

Contact nadia.maizi@mines-paristech.fr

Web Site http://www.modelisation-prospective.org/index_en.html



ParisTech's Chair Modeling for sustainable development

16/3/2012

43 / 46

N. Maïzi et al. (MINES ParisTech) Future challenges for French power

Puissances installées contraintes par la taxe



Figure: Capacités (contrainte par la taxe)

Puissances installées contraintes par taxe + quantités



Figure: Capacités (contrainte par la taxe et les quantités)



Nouvelles capacités installées (sans les réacteurs prolongés)



Figure: Nouvelles capacités installées par scénario